

The following are notes, not an official transcript of the meeting. For an official transcript, go to the Delanco Township Municipal Building, 770 Coopertown Road.

Township Committee Meeting December 18, 2006

Present: Devinney, DiCarlo, Hinkle, Ouelette, Fitzpatrick

Municipal Clerk Lohr, Administrator Corcoran, Deputy Clerk Zimmerman, Solicitor Doug Heinold, Superintendent of Public Works Fenimore

.....  
ZURBRUGG MANSION PRESENTATION

Fitzpatrick: We have RFP's that we've received and reviewed; we're ready to enter into an agreement. We want Max Spann to speak to tell everyone what the plan is for the mansion

Spann: I'm paid by the Township, not by the developer as a point of clarification.

Process:

1. Slide show presentation – gremlins, so he didn't use it.
2. Retained for property – uniqueness of location and type of property. Use wasn't clear to anyone. Direction was to reach out to marketplace and solicit proposals. Then we can cull through the variety of proposals.
3. Marketing campaign – brochures and database (12000 sent) to selected group from corporate type users to educational institutions to retreats to bed and breakfasts.
4. Broad range of advertising – 10 newspapers and periodicals, reached out to brokerage community and press releases (Burlington Times and ABC television) to create an awareness of this offering over a six week period. 803 hits on our website. 127 inquiries for information – 4 open houses that were well-attended – 60 parties, some multiple times 63 asked for request for proposals – geographic range from CA to FL to Boston and all points in between. Nature of response was what we had anticipated – Bed and Breakfasts, residential redevelopments, retreats, centers for rehabilitation, residential redevelopment. Others – home uses – funeral home – positive response surprised even us.
5. Due to volume of response – extended time an additional 45 days so responses could be detailed.

Fitzpatrick: Other items for qualifications

strength of financial plan

financial strength of the respondent

economic benefits to the community

architectural appeal – retain existing value

feasibility of achieving plan

projected long-term success of the venture

J2 Associates is the developer. This is John Rahenkamp.

Partner: John Hooper

Architect (didn't catch his name) – Worked on Carl's Shoes in Moorestown

Carl Glassman – consultant for B and B – has one in New Hope

Rahenkamp presented:

Residence - wouldn't work since it's only a room deep  
Restaurant – not work well due to staircase and dumbwaiter  
Bed and breakfast – most rational and logical use  
Merion Caterers. the Cove and the Castle – Presence of caterers in the area support it.  
Public can use it – carriage rides, band concerts  
Public spaces will be maintained and retained for public use  
Nine condo units as well to reduce size of bed and breakfast so that it will be successful over time. Condos will be in the corners so as not to destroy the trees.

Architect:

- 20 some rooms “boutique hotel” with a lot of common areas available to the town – almost a mix of uses.
- brick and Hardie plank siding – same level of quality of the original building
- 2500-3000 ft units 9 on site– still in the planning process
- More stable ownership

B and B Consultant (Carl Glassman):

- New Hope – worked with prospective owners in Plainfield, Cape May, Lambertville, etc.
- several 100 in NJ
- 99.9% in residential neighborhoods – no bed and breakfasts in NJ on the Delaware
- B and B only allowed in residential areas
- mansion is larger than most bed and breakfast – startup with 48000 sq. ft
- consulted for public bodies – could think of a lot of uses, but most of them would be in the corrections department.
- B and B – takes about 5 years to develop a clientele – no guarantee that you will ever gain success – definitely a risk

Question:

Resident: Public and private space? What happens if it isn't successful?

Carl: no guarantees

Rahenkamp: Glassman is a famous author *How to Start and Run a Bed and Breakfast*  
We're excited to do the project.

Heinold:

- Action tonight – reviewed proposals and weighed them according to standards. This says we think your proposal has a lot to offer – we hammer out details that work for both of us. If it's not successful, we reach out again. This is a commitment period for both of us.
  - Your what if question – we spent a year fighting against detrimental use. Township is trying to put the property in the best possible position it can be in to survive. If the development fails 5-10 years down the line, the zone still will say what is permitted there. Either another B and B will come in or someone will seek a variance for another use.
- Resident: That building will be there with 9 condo. How many people answered?

Heinold: This is a competitive process. We're still hashing that out. They weren't part of the review.

Resident (Delaware): Wasn't the public supposed to be involved? Weren't we supposed to hear a variety of uses?

Fitzpatrick: The public is misinformed. When it became a redevelopment zone, that changed. We considered your suggestions that were given to us. The number one use was a bed and breakfast.

Resident: When this was first purchased, you said there would be ample time for citizens to be involved.

Resident: Tax abatement?

Fitzpatrick: Not at this time

Resident: Has it been mentioned?

Fitzpatrick: Mentioned

Hinkle: They all mentioned it.

Resident: Do we keep the riverfront?

Fitzpatrick: That lot is part of their proposal

Resident: Who culled these proposals?

Fitzpatrick: Max Spann

Resident: What were the other uses?

Fitzpatrick: Still competitive. I can't say.

Resident: Noise and nuisance – concerts – bands – weddings- things that will be amplified will impact the neighborhood.

Fitzpatrick: Being considered

Resident: I am deeply disappointed in the way this is being done.

Resident (Buttonwood): Been to every meeting . Mr. Rahenkamp hasn't done any good for us. Look at Russ Farm. He sold it to three other owners.

Resident (Poplar): Sale is contingent on getting variances for condos

Heinold: Not really variances

DiCarlo: Redevelopment makes a difference

Taylor (Scott Taylor): Will do an analysis of the trees. To refine the materials in terms of brick – brick colors and to see what the windows will be and the exterior lighting. On-site and on-street parking. Limitations on capacities for uses. Curfews and noise ordinances. Stringent set of design guidelines – stronger landscape and buffering. Those standards will be in a document and to Joint Land Use Board. Since it's a redevelopment zone, we can have more stringent standards.

Resident: What's the time limit

Heinold: 45-60 days from tonight – Redevelopers Agreement. Once the township knew it couldn't keep the building, we could have gone to public auction. This seemed to be better

Resident (Brennan): Riparian rights – goes with the property?

Fitzpatrick: If there are, there will be

Resident: Would there be a dock for their boats?

Fitzpatrick: It's a consideration

Resident (Delaware):

I've missed two or three meetings this year. Every time the mansion has come up, you've said there would be public input. This isn't what I envisioned. Mr. Corcoran has ended every update with the words "public input".

Please restrict amplified music in the agreement. County has already established parameters for noise. Can't be heard outside of the premises. It can be shut down by the county.

Please don't allow a public dock in front of that house.

Resident (Shipp's Way): Why is it so important that this occur tonight?

Fitzpatrick: Still a competitive process. We can't present every person.

Resident: Why does it have to be handled during the last meeting that the Republicans have a majority?

Fitzpatrick: Fern will speak to that. Fern and Ed have been a part of this process all along. Personal issues are being addressed that shouldn't be.

Ouelette: Question of budget for next year or do we have to go to permanent bonding?

Resident: So your back is against the wall. I don't have a particular affiliation and that was uncalled for.

DiCarlo: You have an accusatory attitude. Every time you come to the microphone, you have a chip on your shoulder.

Resident: Last time you took a property for public and private use, it cost the homeowners association \$60,000 and The Pointe \$60,000. One of the same parties is involved.

Fitzpatrick: One of the nightmares was residents being able to join the recreation center. They were trying not to exclude the older residents. No one from old Delanco applied for membership. When the Point came along, we backed out of that agreement, because we didn't think that was good for the town.

Resident: What assurances can be put into play to be sure that doesn't happen again?

Heinold: In this situation, the Township did spend money on Newton's Landing. It wasn't \$60,000. What we're talking about isn't selling memberships; trying to maintain use of the building like the carriage rides. Those are the kinds of things we want to spell out in the agreement. The developers want to have events to remind people that this B and B is part of their town./

Resident (Buttonwood): One man has done four different things and he hasn't done anything good for the town. He still has a big pile of dirt out there.

Resident (Illinois): Condos – rental or sale?

Fitzpatrick: Sale

Resident: Required to contribute to recreation fund?

Fitzpatrick: Yes

Resident (Burlington): I thought that the Nov. 29<sup>th</sup> meeting was to discuss the different proposals.

Fitzpatrick: That was to present the first runner up. We met with a couple of people again.

Resident: I missed a few meetings, but I thought the township wanted to keep the waterfront. Somewhere down the line that changed?

Fitzpatrick: We had hoped to keep it to have public access and see what figure Green Acres would come up with. We would have to get two appraisals and then they would come in and give a figure. It would be more beneficial to the residents if the owner maintains the property. For them to have a viable business, they are going to need to make those improvements.

Resident: So you could sit on the lawn – that could be in the agreement?

Fitzpatrick: yes.

Resident: What would come first?

Taylor: Township considered requiring CO for B and B prior to starting the condos.

Owners want to do that. They can't sell condos if the building needs significant repair.

Resident: I've been coming to these meetings and hearing all of the problems at Newtons Landing, kitchens that are something else. I would hope there would be more oversight.

Resident (Union): Preserving view to river.. any consideration for the people who live on 2<sup>nd</sup> Ave?

Fitzpatrick: Height of the building won't be higher than The Columns

Rahenkamp: Your view from Vince's will be the same. Peripheral and parallel views are the same. There will be some blockage on the right set of condos. I'm sensitive to your views.

Resident (): I think a bed and breakfast is a good idea. With Merion Caterers and the Castle, people need a place to stay. It will be an asset to the town.

Fitzpatrick: I don't know how many of you have gone to the events that the Women's Club offers. They can be there. Personal information and personalities didn't come into this. We made a decision for this proposal to have a viable business there, to be put on the map and to still be able to use the property for our public functions would benefit the township. I'm sure that everyone up here will work to make this agreement work.

Resident (Buttonwood): People have come to this town and every time that person was involved, it hasn't done good for our town.

Fitzpatrick: This is about moving into the future.

Resident (Franklin) and member of Joint Land Use Board: I was surprised that there isn't more public input. I was shocked to get an email about this. I'm not unfamiliar with the developments in town. What about the COAH obligation?

Fitzpatrick: Two units on site – rentals. John will speak to it.

Rahenkamp: Two units on site. They will be the employees of the B&B.

Heinold: Taylor Design does a good job on the conceptual process. When we talk about the process for the redevelopment, we're not talking about the Golden Triangle. We thought about defining uses and then developing a redevelopment plan. Concern was if you draw the redevelopment area, you may be cutting out potential users.

Resident: Your approach in marketing is sound. At some point, more public input.

Hinkle: What would you expect?

Resident: Posters in Town Hall with three or five proposals.

Heinold: This is the first night we've been able to bring this process before the public. We're going to encourage public input. I've been making a list this evening so that we can respond to the concerns that were raised tonight.

Resident: Doesn't appear to be remotely consistent with Master Plan. Personalities aside – with one of these development partners, what we see is rarely, if ever, what we see on the ground.

Resident: Is part of the process being sure that J2 has enough money to do this?

Fitzpatrick: Yes

Resident (Maple): We can't compare apples, oranges, and pears. We don't know if we have an apple, an orange, and a pear.

Fitzpatrick: This was competitive. We couldn't discuss this with anyone or take proposals home.

Resident: If it will be so profitable, why doesn't the town become one of the partners?

Resident (Delaware): Lots of people have put time and money into this. Why wouldn't you want to give people more time?

Fitzpatrick: Well, Fern... Time is of the essence. We have until March for our bonding. We took these proposals into great consideration.

Resident: You haven't answered the question.

Fitzpatrick: What do you want?

Resident: I would think you would post a flyer that we're going to have a meeting in two weeks. When was the agenda made?

Hinkle: I got mine Saturday

Fitzpatrick: Why didn't you do that, Fern? We called the Burlington Times.

Resident: I saw Fern's notice at 7 Eleven. You know what this meant to this town.

Devinney: There's nothing that went on tonight that precludes further discussion. In the next term, when there is a different group of people up here, we can get additional input.

Fitzpatrick: Input will be continual.

Resident (Poplar): With the new board, do we have to start all over?

Heinold: Just an agreement to talk seriously about it.

Resident: That's my understanding.

Ouelette: That's my understanding too.

Corcoran: Timing – we need to produce an affidavit prior to Dec. 31 to avoid the cost of funding the mansion in the 2007 budget. State wouldn't allow us not to include the cost in the budget, thereby saving the taxpayers a significant increase. One caveat we are following - short term financing prior to Dec. 31 – total amount of the financing for the year would have to be in the budget.

RESOLUTION 2006-157

AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING  
WITH J2 ASSOCIATES WITH REGARD TO ZURBRUGG MANSION

## REDEVELOPMENT

Devinney – recused, but would vote yes.

Ouelette – Administrator said we have to move fast on this. I don't want the developers to think that we're going to put dollars ahead of what is best for this town.

Passed unanimously

---

## ORDINANCE 2006-19

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 222 GOVERNING "PROPERTY MAINTENANCE" outside storage of equipment and materials excluding seasonal storage of docks. Storage prohibited in side yard at discretion of Zoning Officer and Township Administer. Golf cars, riding motors, building products, wood products, snow plows.

\*3rd READING BY TITLE ONLY AND PUBLIC HEARING FOR AMENDMENTS FROM THE 2ND READING AND PUBLIC HEARING HELD ON DECEMBER 4, 2006

Passed with Ouelette abstaining

HEARING ON AMENDMENTS OPEN TO THE PUBLIC

HEARING CLOSED TO THE PUBLIC

## RESOLUTION 2006-158

A RESOLUTION PROVIDING REASONS FOR ORDINANCE 2006-25 APPROVAL DESPITE INCONSISTENCY DETERMINATION BY JOINT LAND USE BOARD

## ORDINANCE 2006-25

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 110 GOVERNING "ZONING"

\*2nd READING BY TITLE ONLY AND PUBLIC HEARING

R-4 existing lots are made consistent by this resolution because Master Plan is such that only 14% of the lots conform. Will increase conformity to 57%

(I think I missed something in here. One of these is about R-3. Sorry I missed it.)

HEARING OPEN TO THE PUBLIC

HEARING CLOSED TO THE PUBLIC

## ORDINANCE 2006-26

AND ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 110 GOVERNING "ZONING"

\*2nd READING BY TITLE ONLY AND PUBLIC HEARING

HEARING OPEN TO THE PUBLIC

Resident: I collect cars. I want to build a pole barn out back. I would have to go for a variance. I like the Joint Land Use Board, I just don't like the professionals. I don't think you should shoot people down. We're not Moorestown or Medford. We're a blue collar town. We're small town America. I bought my house because it had a large garage. Some neighbors have met their neighbors in my garage. I don't think this is a good idea.

Fitzpatrick: I thought this was supposed to help the residents.

Resident: The size limit is 840 sq. ft. I want a 40 x 60. I want a barn so my neighbors look at a barn. You can't cookie cutter everyone. I have a larger lot. My neighbor

across the street has a huge backyard. If I meet my requirements for setbacks and coverage, I don't think we need that.

Taylor: Intent is to preserve light, air and open space. In some places, it might not be appropriate to build a pole barn. When we did this, we made it sliding as per property. We weren't able to put in a provision that says, "if it looks really nice and your neighbors don't mind." We can't blanket allow pole barns or structures of that size.

Resident: How many people have the ability to put a garage like that on their property? This ordinance affects the people who can put one up.

Taylor: You are saying that the negative is only affecting a small number of people. A structure that size is almost the equivalent of a house.

Resident (Buttonwood): If the people within 200 ft are OK, could he build it?

Taylor: Case law – disposition of neighbors is considered, but statutory requirements have to be maintained.

Resident (Poplar): Changing the height?

Taylor: 15 ft. – restricted to one floor. Square footage is using the footprint. Since the use is less intense, you can get closer to your neighbors.

Resident: When I built my garage, I could build whatever I wanted. I don't think it should be restricted at all – as long as you are under the percentage.

Devinney: What is the current square footage?

Lohr: There was

Corcoran: There was no ordinance.

Lohr: Last round of changes, there was a maximum on square footage on any lot.

Resident (): I bought 10000 sq ft from my neighbor. I think we are trying to make this town a Moorestown or a Mt. Laurel. We're trying to cookie cutter this.

Taylor: Seeks to reduce nonconformity through attrition. Majority of the homes are less than the 2400 sq ft. The 840 sq ft on a larger lot is larger than a lot of homes.

Resident: I'm going to talk to my neighbor.

Fitzpatrick: You don't, but what if somebody else does? This ordinance will assist many homeowners. What if your neighbor puts up some monstrosity? Maybe everyone wouldn't be so considerate of their neighbors. Wouldn't you want someone to regulate what structure your neighbor can build?

Taylor: With the shed and detached garage. Your house needs to be 15-25 feet from your neighbors. To build a 2400 sq ft garage or 1500 sq ft blind façade without architectural detail 4 ft from your neighbor's bedroom window...

Resident: Doesn't benefit greater town, restricts just a few.

Corcoran: Back to the beginning. Few towns allow administrator to review applications, but Delanco does. Original purpose to cut down on number of applications that would have to go before the Joint Land Use Board. For example, a gazebo – weren't allowed. You couldn't have a cabana.

Fitzpatrick: This is the third revision.

## HEARING CLOSED TO THE PUBLIC

Vote

Devinney: Yes due to the benefits to the majority of the town

Hinkle: Yes, I think it's a good ordinance.

Ouelette: Yes, I struggle with the average guy.



Fitzpatrick: Yes

ORDINANCE 2006-27  
AND ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 110 GOVERNING "ZONING"  
\*2<sup>nd</sup> READING BY TITLE ONLY AND PUBLIC HEARING  
HEARING OPEN TO THE PUBLIC  
HEARING CLOSED TO THE PUBLIC  
passed unanimously

.....

CONSENT AGENDA ITEMS:  
APPOINTMENT – TO FILL UNEXPIRED TERM FOR MUNICIPAL COURT JUDGE  
Appointment of Gregory McCloskey to fill the unexpired term of Judge Roger Main to  
December 31, 2007

RESOLUTION 2006-159  
AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF INTERLOCAL SERVICES AGREEMENT WITH  
BOARD OF CHOSEN FREEHOLDERS OF THE COUNTY OF BURLINGTON FOR  
SNOW REMOVAL AND ASSOCIATED USES

RESOLUTION 2006-160  
BUDGET APPROPRIATION TRANSFERS

RESOLUTION 2006-161  
CAPITAL APPROPRIATION TRANSFERS UNDER ORDINANCE 2003-14

RESOLUTION 2006-162  
RESOLUTION ACCEPTING CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN FOR 2005 AUDIT

RESOLUTION 2006-163  
A RESOLUTION REQUESTING THE APPROVAL OF THE DIRECTOR OF THE  
DIVISION OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT SERVICES FOR THE INSERTION OF A  
SPECIAL ITEM OF REVENUE IN THE TOWNSHIP BUDGET FOR THE YEAR  
2006

RESOLUTION 2006-164  
RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE AMOUNT OF THE AWARD OF CONTRACT  
FOR PROFESSIONAL SERVICES (ALCOHOL AND DRUG TESTING)

PAYMENT OF BILLS  
ACCOUNT AMOUNT  
GENERAL \$ 131,733.49  
PAYROLL 135,079.10  
CAPITAL 1,784.28  
TRUST 6,629.00  
HOUSING TRUST 1,089.00  
APPROVAL OF MINUTES - 11/20/2006 & 12/4/2006

APPROVAL OF DEPARTMENT REPORTS  
APPROVAL OF CONSENT AGENDA

---

MEETING OPEN TO THE PUBLIC

Resident (Buttonwood): Grass

Corcoran: Will call again

Resident (McCay Way): Article stating state grants to Delanco for \$600,000

Fitzpatrick: \$300,000 for Green Acres and \$300,000 for Field of Dreams. We haven't accepted them yet.

Resident: Shade Tree Commission. Ornamental crab apple tree in front of my house. I'd like to have this tree removed and replaced. Mr. Matulewicz recommended that I not park the car under the tree.

Fitzpatrick: Leave your name and address with me.

Corcoran: We can get that straightened out.

Fitzpatrick: This wasn't planted by us.

Resident (Poplar): What's the liability if you have made a recommendation to the Shade Tree Commission, and it falls, who is liable? Everybody parks their car there

Fenimore: I have the request for that.

Corcoran: will attend JIF hearing tomorrow related to such issues. I've instructed John to take the appropriate action when there is a dangerous situation.

Lohr: Comment on procedure – JIF claim is filed and they determine liability

Resident (Delaware): Talked to Shade Tree – two are completely dead. I filled out the form. She told me that they aren't taking them down. There isn't enough funding. She told me that they are gone for two months.

Fitzpatrick: John, do you have anything for trees on that property?

Fenimore: Yes, problem – there is no sidewalk.

Resident: 10 feet from the curb wasn't mine – I was told not to touch anything on it. I was told by the Zoning Committee.

Fenimore: I have them on the list. I have to take care of the trees that really need to come down. I've been doing this for 35 years. I've looked at yours. They are all going to come down.

Resident: I had a neighbor turn me in on a tree. We had thirty days to get it down. We had to come to court and show that we were going to get it down. It cost us over \$1000 – we only had thirty days and the Township has forever. It's not fair.

Corcoran: I can't speak about a few years back, but we try to be lenient.

Resident: It was six months ago.

Fenimore: They got a ticket from Phil. If they had a sidewalk, it would be easy to tell.

Resident: I don't know why it matters that we don't have a sidewalk.

Fenimore: Township only owns from the sidewalk to the curb.

Taylor: Distance varies through the town. John's point is that it isn't exactly 10 feet everywhere. Sometimes that can be done by survey.

Resident: We have a survey.

Fenimore: We'll take the trees down.

DiCarlo: If public works is so overburdened, why don't we hire someone? I know it costs money, but if someone gets hurt, we're going to get sued.

Corcoran: John made that suggestion to issue a contract that would allow public works to get even with it. Every week there are more. We'd like to retain someone on a bid basis so we get the best price. We've had a single tree cost \$2-4000.

Fenimore: Before leaf season, I like to do a lot of trees. I used to communicate with the Shade Tree Commission. I've gotten a name that I'm "the Butcher". I've been here for 35 years. I'm no tree expert, but I know when a tree needs to come down.

Corcoran: Public works in Delanco spends a huge amount of time on trees. Some surrounding towns don't spend any. Removing trees is dangerous to our people. We almost lost one of our public works employees removing a tree. We have over 70 trees to remove.

Fenimore: I've contacted Shade Tree treasurer to see how much money they have.

Resident (Union): Light in tree at 2<sup>nd</sup> and Union. Called PSE&G to have them move it to the pole.

Corcoran:

Resident (Burlington Ave): Paving job on Perkins – what happened with that?

Fitzpatrick: inspector came out and it was mostly approved

Corcoran: They accepted more than we would. Disappointed that they don't keep a higher standard

## MEETING CLOSED TO THE PUBLIC

### DISCUSSION ITEMS:

#### 2007 TOWNSHIP COMMITTEE MEETING CALENDAR

No meeting July 2<sup>nd</sup>. Publish it and then we can put a notice out to cancel it

### CORRESPONDENCE –none

### COMMENTS – TOWNSHIP ADMINISTRATOR

1. Revaluation meetings extended through early January
2. Dec. 25 and 26 – Township will be closed
3. Meeting with Governor re: Shared services
4. Meeting re: benefits package
5. Our tax assessor is resigning as of Dec. 31
6. Lighting of Phase II of streetscape – installation by end of January
7. Application before DEP from Winzinger to move rock crushing operation to Delanco from Hainesport – lost their permit? license? there and have to get out. Professionals here are researching legalities. Obviously, none of us are in favor. Get involved and be vocal. We are going forward in opposition.

Fitzpatrick: We are going to obtain address to where any resident can object to that and will post all over town. We need your support. It was turned down before.

Hinkle: April 5, 1989 – letter I wrote in my file. That's the last time they did this.  
Resident (Buttonwood): Stuff is leeching into the water.

Public Works

Fenimore: Leaves are over this week.

COMMENTS - TOWNSHIP COMMITTEE

Fitzpatrick: Flowers are for Jackie for her last meeting. Pleasure to have Jackie. Raised issues and questions.

DiCarlo: Thanked everyone on Committee. Thanked Steve. Told residents they were lucky to have such great people in government.

Ouelette: Merry Christmas and Happy New Year to everyone.

Hinkle:

Sewerage: Discount 10% for seniors with \$10,000 or less a year. We have 56 in Delanco

Re Jackie: Grown into this job. She has done a good job and will miss her very much.

Devinney:

Best wishes for the holidays.

Thanked Jackie for the banners and the carriage rides.

Fitzpatrick:

Couldn't attend some events due to illness

Thanked Doug Lohr and Keith Letizio – Boy Scouts distributed the bags with the candles.

Kathleen Quinn, Carol and Tom Hildenbrand assisted

Last public meeting as mayor – been honored and privileged to serve. Continue to serve on the committee to do the best for the people of this town. You may not agree, but I have.

*Notes submitted by Maureen Barrett*