

The following are notes, not official minutes. For an official transcript, go to the Delanco Municipal Building at 770 Coopertown Rd.

Township Committee Meeting – May 5, 2008

Devinney, Hinkle, Templeton, Ouellette Fitzpatrick

Also Present: Steven Corcoran, Twp. Administrator, Mr, Kearns, Twp. Solicitor; Janice Lohr, Municipal Clerk; Karen Zimmermann, Deputy Municipal Clerk; Dave Denton, Township Engineer; John Fenimore, Public Works

Ouellette: Moment of silence for Rick Schmidt (not sure of spelling) former mayor. Our hearts and prayers go out to the family.

ORDINANCE 2008-03 ADOPTING A REDEVELOPMENT PLAN, AND
AUTHORIZING ACTIONS TO PROMOTE REDEVELOPMENT, PURSUANT TO
THE LOCAL REDEVELOPMENT AND HOUSING LAW, N.J.S.A. 40A:12A-1 et seq.
**SECOND READING BY TITLE ONLY& PUBLIC HEARING
HEARING OPEN TO THE PUBLIC

Lohr: Received a memo from JLUB which met and determined plan is consistent with the master plan

Kent Smith (represents the Zurbrugg Partnership): Appellate division made a decision on Friday regarding government body (missed a few words here) The question is: Does the Redevelopment Plan require that Township Committee verify site plan before it goes to JLUB? According to the Milford case, which was just decided– if that is the case, you must follow that step.

Kearns: I will have an answer for you when I review that case tomorrow.

(To Township Committee), you can proceed with the ordinance.

(To Mr. Kent Smith): I will read it and have an answer for you tomorrow.

No other comment from the public

HEARING CLOSED TO THE PUBLIC

Templeton: Question for Kent Smith in regards to the provision that was changed in this version of the agreement... “urban renewal entity”. Why is that in this agreement?

Kent Smith: To get any type of funding from HMFA, it can only go to nonprofit agency so it couldn't go to the for profit partnership.

Templeton: Does that mean that you will be seeking tax abatements? Being an urban renewal entity is a step required for that. I just want it on the record that you are not going to seek tax abatement.

Kent Smith: We are not

Templeton: Due diligence section was removed regarding secure financing for your project. Do you have that?

Kent Smith: It was eliminated because we are going to close on project with cash.

Templeton: As far as redevelopment under statute, it seems to require you have financial security for entire project. Do you have that?

Kent Smith: Haven't determined total financial structure – private placement, or other options.

Templeton: It caught my eye because it was a difference between this and J2 agreement and was a key factor in the dissolution of that project.

Kent Smith: (missed his brief reply)

Templeton: Urban renewal entity ...we bought this property under duress. We were lucky once and don't know that we would be twice. I want the project to succeed. I'm looking for any weakness now so we can all look at it together. Has the management for COAH been finalized?

Kent Smith: Still in negotiation.

Templeton: Township has experience with good and bad managers. I strongly suggest Township Committee takes an active part in that. I would think you would want to get the best that's out there for the survival and economic feasibility of the townhouses.

Kent Smith: There would be a meet and greet with township to take care of any concerns.

Templeton: What is the final status of main house? Who will own the first floor?

Kent Smith: It will be a part of independent senior living entity.

Templeton: What about the common area?

Kent Smith: A portion of it will be. Part of that entry will be quasi public space.

Templeton: Who is going to maintain that?

Cherkis: Mansion as a whole building will be owned by Zurbrugg Partnership. All the current common areas will remain common areas except for one bedroom. The only part of the building that will possibly not be part of the operation of the apartments may be the basement as we work with the architect to design the apartments in the past few weeks. Above the basement nothing would be outside the realm of the apartment complex.

Templeton: I'm curious as to who is going to pay to maintain that. Will it transfer to COAH?

Cherkis: It wouldn't be transfer of ownership to COAH.

Hinkle: Currently negotiating with Lutheran Social Services.

Cherkis: We won't be in a position to finalize an agreement until we close on the property. As owners, we have as much or more vested interest. If it doesn't operate right – budget, etc. – we will be subsidizing that ourselves.

Templeton: That's why I'm asking these questions. A non-profit entity would have a significant maintenance and utility obligation with that first floor.

Cherkis: No way around that. The agreement that was negotiated put that burden on us to maintain and potentially allow functions there down the road.

Templeton: I just didn't want to be back here in a year and a half hearing that you can't make it and want to do something different in there.

Cherkis: It will not be all COAH in there. There will be market rate units. That affects the need to maintain the common areas.

Ouellette: Follow-up question: Back of the building will have 12 apartments and the re

Cherkis: Agreement as of now says 24. The main part would have 10 and the back would be expanded to have 12. Architect has designed two additional units for the basement.

Ouellette: The stickler with me has been Willow Street and Delaware Ave. Concern was trees that pushed the units towards Delaware. The two large trees have started to deteriorate and look like they will need to be removed sooner than later. It was presented to JLUB that we had negotiated it that way and the set backs can't change. I would still like to see those two units pushed back.

Kent Smith: It's a trade off based on what JLUB wants to achieve – preserving the trees or moving the units back.

Ouellette: At what point do they have that option?

Kent Smith: Problem is the tree preservation plan which is part of the original J2 plan. We're flexible. The units can be pushed back.

Ouellette: At what point does it go back

Kent Smith: Site (missed this)

Templeton: Question on COAH – if it is at some in between point. Ryan has 14 units transferring. I'm concerned about growth share. If something happens and the project dissolves, how does the township accommodate those five units in addition to the 14? The Ryan payment will only cover the 14.

Kent Smith: Resolution in agreement was in the benefit of the township. Zurbrugg Partnership would forgo the building title and has the Ryan money.

Templeton: It's a moving line where your project would be.

Kent Smith: Lender can continue to fund or not. Lender is going to be made whole, but the Zurbrugg Partnership doesn't. We've lost that money.

Ouellette: You haven't lost the money; you have the 8 building lots. The half million you are giving the township covers the 8 lots and the carriage lots.

Fitzpatrick: They lose \$540,000. They lose the 8 building lots too.

Templeton: That's what I'm asking – It doesn't apply to parts of property that have been completed. If they can build them and sell them, they make their money.

Fitzpatrick: As they build them, they have to complete the COAH units.

Templeton: This is an opportunity to ask questions.

Fitzpatrick: This is to adopt the redevelopment plan. We don't allow the public to get up and ask questions about other things.

Templeton: In any case, there seems minimal risk for 7 partners in a default situation. The township is at a disadvantage.

Cherkis: You are on record in the paper. We know how you feel. You spoke to the paper.

Templeton: I'm allowed to.

Ouellette: If the mansion and units planned out come to fruition, it's going to be a fantastic project.

Cherkis: Combined with the township not being in the situation it is in now, it will. Passed with Templeton voting "no"

CONSENT AGENDA ITEMS:

PAYMENT OF BILLS

ACCOUNT AMOUNT

GENERAL \$923,808.70

PAYROLL 73,056.23

TRUST 16,705.85

OPEN SPACE TAX 3,000.00

RESOLUTION 2008-52 AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENT DELANCO POLICE ASSOCIATION (Tabled from previous meeting)

RESOLUTION 2008-68 RESOLUTION APPOINTING TEMPORARY DEPUTY MAYOR

RESOLUTION 2008-69 GRANTING VETERAN'S TAX DEDUCTION FOR YEAR 2007

RESOLUTION 2008-70 AUTHORIZING DELANCO TO EXECUTE AN AGREEMENT WITH BURLINGTON COUNTY FOR COOPERATIVE PARTICIPATION IN THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT ACT OF 1974

RESOLUTION 2008-71 REFUNDING UNEXPENDED ESCROW ACCOUNT – STREET OPENING PERMIT

RESOLUTION 2008-72 REDUCING PERFORMANCE GUARANTEE FOR CREEKSIDE AT DELANCO, BLOCK 1802, LOT 2

APPROVAL OF CONSENT AGENDA

SPECIAL PRESENTATION - RIVER KEEPER PROJECT

Frank Clare, Newton's Landing Board of Trustees: In July 2007 homeowner approached with plan to enhance Rancocas coastline. Everything that would happen would be good for ecology and environment. Asked Mr. Seare (not sure I've spelling his name correctly) to present to homeowners – positive response. He will present now.

(Presented handout regarding project and letter from Riverkeeper)

Cyr: Lived here for about 4 years. I'm a consultant for agriculture related business. I was involved in a project regarding invasive species – grants, etc., have been awarded along Delaware. 7/10 of a mile path that will connect to Pennington Park. (Pointed extensively to map the public couldn't see). Japanese knotweed is number one. Grows 8 ft or higher. It has a poor root system so doesn't stabilize the bank. Part of program is to eliminate that infestation. We can make a park-like forest. Vines are ruining trees. Trees are growing along the ground. With decent arborist type intervention we can improve the area and values. Formed a consortium – typically homeowners association can take initiative. Funders are looking for local involvement. Delaware Riverkeeper has done assessment. We've had (missed this) and John walk through. I've presented a list of what we want to do. When we get rid of Japanese knotweed, we plant native species. Trash in water needs to be removed. We have formed a consortium, had site visit. seeking approval of Pulte. Pulte has put on restrictions making it impossible for us to proceed. County doesn't want to write a letter since it doesn't own. The triparty agreement means they will. They want legal fees to be paid by homeowners. Right now we are stuck. To get money, we need grant applications – may be too late for 2008. We need a detailed vegetation assessment that needs to be done now. Knotweed needs to be treated (chemical treatment) as per Penn State and Riverkeeper. Product is approved by EPA and would be done by licensed professional. Residents are lined up willing to be sure that the plants are cared for. We have talked to the Boy Scouts. It's a two-three year process. We can provide sweat equity. Township support – Pulte suggested that township take that property now then we would be dealing with you as the property owner. It could be a prototype of other work that could go on in the township as well. Taking ownership of the property would enable us to go forward.

Templeton: What's the name of the product?

Cyr: (missed name) Also known as Round Up Plant can be cut and it can be applied right in the stem. It doesn't get in the water or the soil

Templeton: Who was the contact at the county?

Cyr: Called them first. Called Aubrey Fenton. Jim Wujic and Matt Johnson- thought it was a good project, but won't write a letter since they don't own the property.

Templeton: Seems odd that the county is resistant since the township is only going to own it long enough to pass the paper across the table to the county. A couple of months ago, we tried to accelerate the transfer and still have a mechanism to hold Pulte responsible for the basin. Matt Johnson seemed to think that that would be possible.

Clare: If township took over, Pulte would have to place the bond \$198,000

Fitzpatrick: That's specifically for the basins. It's my understanding that we could take ownership so you could complete your cleanup. County will get them.

Another group that may help you is the Rancocas Conservancy –

Cyr: I haven't spoken to them.

Fitzpatrick: that's what they do. Barbara Rich could help you out.

Ouellette requested that the photo map was shown to the public.

MEETING OPEN TO THE PUBLIC

Resident of Pennington: We have a clean-up along the creek once a year. Is there anything that could be done by the homeowners at no cost?

Cyr: Maybe

Resident: Right now the township is going to own that property for a minute and a half. If the township owns it, who would have to apply for the grants?

Cyr: Homeowners Association would apply and Riverkeeper will write the grant. They can't go forward now. Funding works when local people spearhead it.

Resident: So they are looking for Homeowners' Association for labor?

Cyr: yes

Resident: You would pay with what?

Fitzpatrick: If township owned it, would the township be responsible to do the balance of the work?

Cyr: We don't know the answer since they won't move forward until they have landowner approval. Everyone will have a chance to comment. Nothing is in stone except an idea. Even assessment costs money - \$8,000. Out of assessment comes the plan. If the township could help with equipment on a certain day, that would be great, but that's not the expectation.

Clare: Pulte suggested township taking over property now and give approval.

Fitzpatrick: I don't think the bonds cover the walkway. Pulte has to meet certain requirements for them to be transferred to us. If we accepted that property without the work, who would do that?

Cyr: They think the work on the basins is basically done.

Fitzpatrick: The basins have nothing to do with the triparty agreement. The pathway is an item that Pulte has continually not done to plan. It's convenient for them to tell you that the best thing is for the township to take the property. I would like the county to

walk through with us so that we aren't holding an empty bag. Pulte doesn't want to get involved since they can't even turn it over to the county as it is.

Clare: So it isn't complete

Fitzpatrick: No. Before we would take it, we would want it to be where it should be. The clean-up is one thing, but the path is another.

Corcoran: On two occasions, the county has said it was OK only to have no maintenance done by Pulte so it wasn't OK. It could be brought up to standards and then have the township maintain. Township Committee would have to consider what would have to be done.

Fitzpatrick: The county has the equipment to maintain basins and pathways and the township doesn't. I talked to county about having their people come in when the basins were what they should be. They will be doing that once they are done to specifications. I would be willing to walk the path with the county before the township agreed to do anything so it doesn't cause us an additional expense.

Clare: What's the schedule for transfer?

Corcoran: Intended to be simultaneous. Triparty agreement as per our attorney, doesn't preclude township taking ownership for an extended period. Township Committee would have to access the risks and it would be critical to know how long. You aren't in a position to talk about that. County wants that land.

Fitzpatrick: Question Mr. Kearns raised- any grant you received, at the end, who would take responsibility to maintain that? I live on the creek and there is constantly debris. Someone would be responsible for upkeep. Would the county be willing or your homeowners association? We have clean-ups out at Hawk Island all the time and we could have one every month.

Cyr: New plant material would be responsibility of homeowners association.

Fitzpatrick: I will contact the county for a walk through. One of you should be present.

Devinney: Question regarding acceptance of property. Wouldn't our expectations be the same as the county? If it's good enough for us, it should be OK for them.

Clare: Target date for turnover was?

Fitzpatrick: None. It would have been a few years ago. You are dealing with Pulte. You can see they don't work on timelines.

Resident of McKay: Would township engineer also walk?

Fitzpatrick: Everyone who is part of it should be there. We shouldn't accept it unless it's ready. If it is ready, why haven't they accepted it?

Resident of Pennington: I'm confused. Pulte has put up bond for basins. Now we are talking about pathway. What does that do to timeframe for Pulte to get out of here and you can take over?

Corcoran: Pathway has been brought up to standards at least twice, but so much time has intervened while waiting for basins.

Resident: Kate said that might not be the case since the pathway may not be up to snuff.

Corcoran: It's collectively. Basin problems intervened with a year or two in between.

Resident: Pulte is aware and know they are working on basins at this time.

Corcoran: That is a condition of transfer of title of the path.

Resident: So you are still a way from the triparty agreement transfer.

Corcoran: I would say that. They have posted bonds for a plan that everyone has accepted. Now we're getting into legal issues that would have to be addressed.

Wouldn't want to disrupt the basin.

Resident of Buttonwood: Line for path is wrong. It should go up along the creek.

Resident of McKay: Whole area is one lot and block – basin and path and that's what has to be transferred.

Resident of Pennsylvania Ave: As member of JLUB, I'll be hearing a proposal for parking on one side of street of the new development we have. They are going to propose narrower roads and parking on one side. I'm going to be asked to review the plan. The developer as per the state has the right to do that. I'm asking about enforcement where that already exists like at Newton's Landing

Corcoran: Can't enforce until there is an ordinance. Can't adopt until we identify language as to what side of the street should be parking and how many feet etc.

Resident: Have streets been accepted?

Corcoran: Yes

Resident: Why are they parked there?

Kearns: Needs to be an ordinance to write a ticket. Same thing happens in the development that I live in.

Ouellette: Same issue at RiversEdge

Kearns: Yes, language needs to be in ordinance.

Ouellette: Fire marshall?

Kearns: No jurisdiction.

Kearns: Thinking ahead, for new developments, you can get the developer to turn over traffic jurisdiction to you so you could put an ordinance in place though you didn't own the streets.

Fitzpatrick: State has passed guidelines that don't allow people in these developments to have company. It's very difficult. I've had times I couldn't park in Newton's Landing or RiversEdge.

Resident: Developer has a choice of how wide the streets can be. Frustration is from the developers, not the township.

MEETING CLOSED TO THE PUBLIC

CORRESPONDENCE - none

DISCUSSION ITEMS:

1. REVIEW OF DEFEATED SCHOOL BUDGET AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Ouellette: Budget was voted down so it came to Township Committee. We set up a subcommittee and met with superintendent and school board. Mr. Templeton and I went over the budget. They came in to executive session. We had made some recommendations to cut. We came to an agreement – in their health insurance line, they will go to the state system, This enabled us to trim a penny. We talked about school library. Township pays \$17,000 in rent. For next year's budget, set up subcommittee to change language to eliminate that \$17,000 out of the township budget and give it to the school.

Fitzpatrick: We did ask what they were thinking of doing. Two resignations – consolidate that position to decrease that administration fee if state allows. They were having a special meeting tonight. I hope they take that into consideration. It would give them a substantial amount of surplus next year. I'd like to see that happen.

Ouellette: Based on the figures, average home \$240,000 - tax increase per house would be \$120. We have to have a resolution that they submit by May 19th.

Resolution 2008-73 certifying amount to be provided to school - \$46,950 total \$4,796,752 from health benefits which was reduced.

Passed unanimously

2. AUTHORIZATION OF REQUIREMENT TO REIMBURSE OPEN SPACE TAX TRUST ACCOUNT WHEN REFUNDS FROM FEMA OR OTHER AGENCIES AND GRANTS ARE RECEIVED

Ouellette: Borrowed money for mold remediation and for removal of oil tanks. We are going to put that money back into that account.

Templeton: If we don't get the money, are we creating a bill for ourselves?

Fitzpatrick: Money is for historic preservation. Township has responsibility. That property wasn't purchased as historic preservation.

Templeton: The ordinance and resolution from when you purchased it as mayor said it was.

Fitzpatrick: At that time, it was.

Templeton: To me, the money is an investment in the structure of the building.

Fitzpatrick: (missed this)

Templeton: I don't like taxing our residents twice and that is what you are doing.

Fitzpatrick: We have a responsibility to return those funds.

Templeton: Even if we didn't have any grants, it is a worthy use. We are taxing twice – once under building and grounds to maintain and also under open space. I think we should use that open space money for that purpose

Kearns: You can use funds since that was a historic property.

Templeton: Otherwise we are taxing people twice. It's unfair and it's not smart. That's my view.

Ouellette: Once the funds are reimbursed, do they go to general account or to open space?

Hinkle: They should go to open space. We are almost positive to get money from FEMA and another \$10,000 grant. I think it should go back.

Corcoran: I received letter from EJIF - will pay up to \$10,000

Ouellette: Putting money into open space fund, you can use them for open space. If it goes into general, we couldn't use that money this year.

Corcoran: Yes, it would go to next year.

Ouellette: Either way it goes into savings – general fund or open space.

Templeton: My question is whether we are creating another bill for ourselves. We already have enough money we owe ourselves

Devinney: Amend to "as received"

Ouellette: Poll committee as to where you feel dollars should go

Hinkle: Open space

Templeton: If we get the money, back where it came from. Whatever doesn't come back, I don't want to create something we have to fund.

Ouellette: We've already incurred that expense. It sounds like we are going to get all those dollars back.

Devinney: Back where it came from

Fitzpatrick: Monies put back in that account as received.

Ouellette: That would be my position. If there was an opportunity to buy or preserve open space, those dollars would be there.

Fitzpatrick: I'd like to see a resolution passed so that that is on the record.

Ouellette: Will put on for next meeting.

3. MANSION UPDATE Corcoran

-Still waiting for report on oil tanks. Have been told that everything was ok

Ouellette: Wheels are turning. Got money from Ryan's COAH obligation. It's a matter of a process. June 30 settlement date.

Templeton: Waterfront parcels – do we want to start working with engineer and state to work on restoration of that parcel?

Fitzpatrick: Should wait until we get the money from Green Acres.

Templeton: We have open space / historic preservation of \$190,000 that could be used for that – Denton or Fegley could start making phone calls to DEP since that will take a very long time.

Ouellette: We already own the land. To start that process of doing that research is a good idea.

Devinney: If we are talking about small amounts of money.

Ouellette: Find out how much it is going to cost.

Corcoran: I will prepare something for Township Committee on how much that is going to cost.

4. ACQUISITION OF RECYCLING CARTS FOR PAPER AND CARDBOARD

Ouellette: New container (Large blue one on display) courtesy of Ann Moore, county recycling coordinator. Medford and Evesham use these for paper and cardboard and their tonnage has gone up considerably.

Hinkle: Save \$72 (not sure I heard this right) million a year.

Ouellette: There is a smaller one as well. Initial cost was \$50 per container. Township's cost would be about \$25 per with county picking up the other \$25. They are on wheels – truck has an arm to pick up and dump.

Templeton: How much are these?

Ouellette: \$25

Hinkle: You don't pay anything this year to start.

Fitzpatrick: It's \$8,000. Surveys indicate that 90% of people were recycling more. Our trash company has been instructed not to pick up trash with cardboard and paper mixed in. In a year, it will pay for

Fenimore: Anything you can rip can go in there.

Fitzpatrick: Eventually container would take both.

Hinkle: Vendor will assembly and deliver to each home so public works won't need to be involved.

Fitzpatrick: So it's two recycling containers.

Ouellette: We will retain the yellow ones.

Fenimore: Suggest we go one step further – any trash in there, they automatically get a fine.

Ouellette: Do we enforce that?

Fenimore: yes. The trash guys will tell us. People are using yellow buckets for trash and grass clippings now. Some contractors have four or five of them. Where are they getting them?

5. MUNICIPAL BUDGET PREPARATION UPDATE

Ouellette: Still waiting to find out what we are getting from the state.

Hinkle: July 1 is the date for the state budget to be finished.

Ouellette: I'm getting nervous. We haven't introduced our budget. Our professionals have said to hold off. We are playing a waiting game here. Copy of draft will be out some time this week. We want residents to have access to that.

Fitzpatrick: Question regarding acquisition of recycling carts. We need to vote. Ann needs to vote by tomorrow.

Hinkle: We did

Templeton: Would it be worth it to develop a few scenarios for the budget depending on what the state funding would be in relative calm?

Ouellette: Let's get the information out to public.

Hinkle: Corzine is considering more tax on fast food.

Ouellette: They will be taxing air.

Corcoran: They already are. We are required to have a permit for our air (missed this)

COMMENTS – PROFESSIONALS

Denton: Delayed bidding pending incorporation of Shade Tree recommendations – should open May (missed date)

Regarding new recycling containers, you should require developers to provide one to each new unit.

Corcoran: We will work on an ordinance modification for JLUB regarding recycling.

Ouellette: yes

COMMENTS – TOWNSHIP ADMINISTRATOR

- four DVD's on RiverRoute Delanco –(passed along to Township Committee)

-skatepark – effective immediately – police activity has restarted. Will take bikes, etc as per last year since it was effective.

Fitzpatrick: Did they take bikes?

Corcoran: Yes.

-Shared services with Beverly and Edgewater to be discussed– similar request from Riverside.

COMMENTS - DEPARTMENT HEADS

Public Works – Fenimore

-Inspection for JIF environmental – recommendations for unused equipment to be removed. Cover on waste oil container.

- Brush pick-up – stuck for last two months. We have to move on. I will send people letters that if it isn't off the street, they will be fined.
- Leaf pick up – today is final day – have to get off street
- StormWater Management Audit – Some ordinance numbers weren't correct. Storm drains – each of the 400 has to be visually inspected and start cleaning them – needs to be documented. Told that no matter what you do in your budget, Storm Water Management is the number one thing public works has to do – suggested fining. People can't blow the grass out in the street. I've stopped several contractors.

Templeton: When I ran into you, you said people are still mixing hazardous materials with brush.

Fenimore: Someone had put in a board with metal. I try to tell people not to mix any kind of boards in there.

Township Clerk - Lohr

- Polling Districts 1 and 2 Walnut – go to Pearson
- Saturday is planting day at new pocket park on Burlington Ave at Vine Street –Eagle Scout project. If you can give an hour or a half hour, stop by. Will be planting indigenous plants. Start at about 10 am.
- May 17th annual town-wide yard sale. EMS squad Hoagie sale that day at firehouse. Scouts will be there too.

COMMENTS - TOWNSHIP COMMITTEE

Hinkle: Arbor Day celebration was a success – three trees planted at Walnut St School. Tree City sign will be awarded. Photos by Steve Corcoran. Steve and Mike were there as was Bill Matulewicz.

Templeton:

- At Arbor Day planting, Bill found a penny dated a year after the school opened. His story for the students was that it may have been dropped when the last trees were planted there. They are now part of the cycle and can come back and see the growth of the trees they planted.
- Audit report – most concerning is the drain on surplus and some minor administrative points.
- Sat in on school board meeting with Mr. Ouelette.
- Concern with trash cans at skateboard. There are 11 bolted down cans on the streetscapes between the bridge and Coopertown. Can a couple of them be bolted down at the skateboard park? There are 11 or 12 on that stretch.

Fenimore: In Gloucester, they built a square holding area with a cage for the can. It would be a pain to empty the cans, but it would be a good idea

Hinkle: How many would you need? Three?

Templeton: We have those big cans that do bolt. We could get a concrete pad and screw them down.

-Talked to NJ Transit regarding graffiti on the bridge – was painted out.

-Where are we on parking for light rail?

Denton: Waiting for NJ Transit to get back to us regarding a meeting in the field.

-JLUB tomorrow – Savannah Mews and Winzinger at 7:30

Fitzpatrick:

-DYSA meeting – car wash will be held and posted on sign and website

-attended JLUB regarding plan for mansion as well as Creekside. I recommended condition – Creekside sidewalk continued to Larchmont Avenue. We will approach resident regarding right-of-way. Steve will talk to Dave about that.

-Attended National Day of Prayer

-Unable to attend library tea due to daughter's flight.

-May 17th benefit for Jack Towell – \$25.00/ticket also accepting donations through Women's Club

-Sunday, May 25 at Larchmont – same route. Service at Gateway with speaker from McGuire. Planes will fly overhead at the start of the parade.

Devinney:

-attended JLUB meeting regarding mansion

-attended Library Luau – publicly thank all for all they do there. Urges support with a financial donation.

-Wednesday – will meet with PSE&G to talk about streetlights.

Ouellette:

-worked on budget

-attended JLUB meeting

-attended Arbor Day

-met with School Board reps regarding the budget.

Meeting reopened to public:

Resident of Buttonwood:

Thanks to Fenimore for fixing the hole in Franklin Street

Thanks to Kate for what she did for me

Fitzpatrick: One of the streets in Crossings at Delanco (formerly Savannah Mews) will be named for Ralph's grandfather.

Resident of Burlington: Delran has an area for residents to put stumps. Would it help if there was an area where residents could bring it themselves?

Fenimore: Definitely not. You would need to have someone at the gate. You wouldn't believe what people would bring in.

Resident: When talking with school board, can you bring up letting our recreation department use their facilities?

Fitzpatrick: They are allowing some storage areas.

Resident: What about using the gym?

Fitzpatrick: Just ask for it

Resident: Mr.McFadden said the school reneged on what they promised for the summer program.

Fitzpatrick: It was a last minute because the school had other projects they were working on. They were able to use the school for the summer program.

I've requested school facilities for Women's Club, Rec, DYSA. I've never been turned down. They let us use the water and left it open so we can use the bathrooms.

Resident of McKay: (to Fenimore) Do you have a space to put paper at your building? These (containers) are large if you have limited space in your garage.

Fenimore: We have three dumpsters.

Resident of McKay: How will smaller containers be distributed? Do you have a choice?

Ouellette: Ann Moore and John will decide. We will purchase two different sizes.

Resident: At Places like The Point this will be too big

Resident of Buttonwood: Is there a soccer field on the blacktop at Walnut?

Fitzpatrick: School does use it.

Meeting adjourned at about 10:15pm

Submitted by Maureen Barrett