

The following are my personal notes, not official meeting minutes. For official minutes, please go to the Delanco Municipal Building, 770 Coopertown Rd.

Delanco Township Committee meeting October 5, 2015

Present: Ciancio, Templeton, Jass (absent) , Dillenbeck, Fitzpatrick

Also Present: Mr. Noll, Township Engineer; Mr. Heinold, Township Solicitor; Mrs. Martin, Deputy Township Clerk; Mr. Fenimore, Supt. of Public Works; Chief DeSanto, Delanco Police; Mrs. Lohr, Municipal Clerk

ORDINANCE 2015-11 AMENDING THE TOWNSHIP CODE TO AMEND CHAPTER 260 GOVERNING "TOWING"

2ND READING BY TITLE ONLY AND PUBLIC HEARING

HEARING OPEN TO THE PUBLIC FOR ORDINANCE 2015-11 – no comment

HEARING CLOSED TO THE PUBLIC Passed unanimously

COMMENTS AND REPORTS:

COMMENTS - TOWNSHIP ADMINISTRATOR -absent

COMMENTSPR –PROFESSIONALS

Noll”

Field of Dreams III seeded and sodded – punchlist item stage

Sea walls – preapplication with DEP to discuss options for governing body

COMMENTS - DEPARTMENT HEADS

1. Police

- Wednesday Sept. 30 – award ceremony Tilger and Maloney Community Service Award from National Liberty Museum Woolhouse Community Service. Officers from around the area.

-West Avenue – truck traffic. County – signs on Edgewater Park side for weight restriction for Perkins Lane. Edgewater’s sign – trucks would cross the railroad tracks before seeing it. County put sign on corner on Burlington Ave. Explained to Stylex that the portion of Perkins in which their business is not weight restricted – asked to have trucks return to Burlington Ave. Signs requested for West Ave to direct that traffic northbound on West Ave.

Fitzpatrick: Did the officers notice a lot of truck traffic on Burlington Ave this week?

DeSanto: Construction – handicapped aprons being replaced. Poured and took out concrete.

Templeton: Halloween curfew?

DeSanto: Would be a great idea to pass a resolution so there is some teeth behind it. Mr. Schwab provided an example. I think we need to concentrate on 30 and 31<sup>st</sup>. Curfew for all under 18 at 9 – trick or treating 2-7pm. Mr. Heinold can advise on the resolution. It would be a good start.

Ciancio: Janice, can we put that on for the next meeting?

Dillenbeck: Just a matter of a couple of weeks. How are we going to get this out?

DeSanto: Notify schools and ask them to send something home.

Fitzpatrick: Email blast.

Lohr: Next meeting is 19<sup>th</sup>.

DeSanto: Would send it to the schools the next day.

Lohr: Email blast, out on sign.

Ciancio: 7:00 does sound a little early to me.

Jass: Church does something. Not sure what time that is

## 2.Public Works

-brush – around again for the storm

-Leaf pick up starts Oct. 26

-removed tree

-Community Cleanup a success – two truckloads of TVs and computers

## 3.Municipal Clerk

-Rabies clinic Sat. Nov. 7

## COMMENTS - TOWNSHIP COMMITTEE

Templeton:

-Wellness Day was held on Wednesday. Couldn't attend due to work. Reports are that it was well attended. Health care providers came out. Thanks to Nancy Bray for organizing in addition to her police administration duties. She does a huge amount of work on this. Thanks to township employees who helped out.

-Environmental Advisory Board – most members have gone back to school or have pressing professional commitments. I was alone so I worked on JIF.

-Library Book and Bake Sale – Helped out.

Jass:

-Attended Wellness Day – great job.

-Reminder – Community Day/Fall Fest 10-3 at fire house. Bring the children.

Dillenbeck:

-Sept. 26 yard sale – hoagie sale sold out.

-Oct. 10 Community Day 10-3 Please come out. Hope to use whole area around fire house weather permitting.

-Emergency generator was hooked up for the storm.

-EMS letters are out - please donate. It keeps us going.

Jass: Rain date for Community Day?

Mr. Lohr: October 17<sup>th</sup> is rain date.

Dillenbeck: No one else knows that.

Lohr: Outside vendors – inflatables, pony rides, DJ were given that date

Fitzpatrick: Would have to be approved by Fire Commissioners. Weather is supposed to be great.

Fitzpatrick:

-Attended Wellness Day – blood pressure was sky high; made doctor's appointment

-Supported EMT and Boy Scout food sales

- Nov. 7 DYSA Pig Roast at Ott's scheduled
- Checked into name regarding waterfront stabilization – spoke with Gallagher's office. Disaster and Flood Mitigation – can't seek funds unless Sandy related. He suggested that if there is another flooding to get a grant in immediately.
- Band club at middle school is having difficulty fulfilling needs of all of the children who want to participate. They are looking for instruments – sax ,clarinets, drums. Women's Club is donating \$500.

Ciancio:

- Health Fair was great – Delanco should be proud to provide these services to residents. Would like to see attendance increase. Kudos to Nancy
- Shade Tree Commission met. Impressed with knowledge of the group. They are adding an alternate member.
- Delanco Public Library is conducting a survey regarding hours of operation. Link is on their website [delancolibrary.org](http://delancolibrary.org). Your participation is helpful.

MEETING OPEN TO THE PUBLIC FOR COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS SESSION ONE

COMMENT AND QUESTION SECTION OF THE MEETING CLOSED TO THE PUBLIC

\*\*\*\*\*

CONSENT AGENDA ITEMS

RESOLUTION 2015-106 TO REFUND 2015 TAX OVERPAYMENT FOR TOTAL VETERAN EXEMPTION AS OF JUNE 8, 2015

RESOLUTION 2015-107 TO CANCEL 2015 PROPERTY TAXES DUE TO TOTAL VETERAN EXEMPTION PURSUANT TO N.J.S.A. 54:4-3.30a

RESOLUTION 2015-108 GRANTING VETERAN'S TAX DEDUCTION FOR YEAR 2015

RESOLUTION 2015-109 REFUND OF TAX OVERPAYMENT

RESOLUTION 2015-110 TO EXTEND SOLID WASTE COLLECTION CONTRACT WITH SOUTH JERSEY SANITATION THROUGH THE HISTORIC RIVERFRONT NEIGHBORHOOD SOLID WASTE COLLECTION PROGRAM

PAYMENT OF BILLS

ACCOUNT

GENERAL \$783,610.50

PAYROLL 123,043.36

ESCROW TRUST 3,365.00

RECREATION DEVELOPMENT 3,301.24

MUNICIPAL OPEN SPACE 9,393.06

APPROVAL OF CONSENT AGENDA

\*\*\*\*\*

RESOLUTION 2015-111 APPROVING SUBMISSION AND EXECUTION OF GRANT APPLICATION TO NJ DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Passed unanimously

Lohr: Resubmitting Orchard

CORRESPONDENCE

-Letter from Boise Cascade – requesting waiver of interest due from their taxes. \$321 Explained they were late due to processing – they are paying us into their next billing cycle.

Ciancio: This is because we were late?

Lohr: Not really. They put it into their next cycle. Every taxpayer in town could say that.

Ciancio: Recommendation from tax assessor?

Lohr: No. Would you like to table this?

Ciancio: Yes

Fitzpatrick: Letter stated due date was 9/10 giving them until 9/19. Taxes were due 9/1 with 9/10 the last date.

Lohr: Bills late due to tax rate. They contacted Lynn who told them to write a letter since she can't waive it. .

Ciancio: Need to make a determination whether it was the fault of the TC or state first.

Lohr: Your budget was adopted and sent to the county in a timely manner. For last six years, some delay. We always extend the date, but it is not a Delanco problem. State or county delayed.

Ciancio: Recommend we table it and place on executive session.

Templeton: I thought tax collector's letter stated 20-21 days were available to pay the taxes. Boise is a big company with an accounting department. They should be paying attention to things like this.

Fitzpatrick: I agree with Mike. That company...

Lohr: You can't make taxes due any sooner than 20 days after the bill was sent out. Their procedures caused them to miss a date.

Email from Phil McFadden asking to Jack Haines be appointed to Recreation Commission as regular voting membership. Rec is down a few members.

Motion passed unanimously

Email from Jay Cohen of Delaware Avenue commenting on the fence and the parking regulation in place for permit parking.

Email from Ed Devinney of Union Avenue commenting on parking regulations.

MEETING OPEN TO THE PUBLIC FOR COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS

SESSION TWO

Resident of Delaware: Will public be able to comment when you get to the discussion items?

Ciancio: Yes. We will open that up.

Resident of Rancocas: Rivers Edge parking – plan for signage.

Fitzpatrick: Worked on that for quite some time. It's going to be one-way going in and one-way going out. Signs are here, but not installed.

Fenimore: Was going to buy propane hammer. Company wants \$6500 to put them in. Harry trying to get a price on just part of the installation.

Fitzpatrick: Where you first enter, the second street will allow parking on right hand side. 71 or 79 new parking spaces created. Near Rivers Lane, switches to other side with one open area. Parking won't be on the side where the homes are. Jesse was involved as well. Residents have called. They were concerned about lights going into their house. I get lights in my living room.. They will get lights no matter which way they go. Taking a while. Worked on it all summer.

Resident: So most of the "no parking" signs will disappear. Figure eight?

Fitzpatrick: Kind of like a perimeter – not a figure eight.

Resident: Only will be parking on one side.

Fitzpatrick: Yes for emergency vehicles.

Resident: Have a better picture of it now.

## COMMENT AND QUESTION SECTION OF THE MEETING CLOSED TO THE PUBLIC

### DISCUSSION ITEMS:

#### 1. RECOMMENDATION TO AMEND PARKING PERMIT ORDINANCE

DeSanto: Permits issued to 11 households – 21 households were eligible. 33 visitor permits issued. 21 resident permits. Enforcement – didn't start right away, issued warnings 26 citations in a year. Compare the year before Sept. 13-14 – 78 police incidents. 2014-2015 – 104 police activity. Reason for increase – police initiated incidents and property check. Increase in police initiated . 52 of 78 85 of 104 officer have the ability to enforce something.

Fitzpatrick: All just parking violations?

DeSanto: Raw numbers – difficult to break down. Quality of life. Ordinance is parking but a quality of life issue. People who park there are into other activities. 10 in 2014 – 17 in 2015. More police presence. Some flexibility could be built into the ordinance. 24 hour pass and increase the number of visitor passes. Office wasn't overwhelmed. Think it is important to have the ordinance. If we get rid of it, we will be back here writing one due to problems. Officers can now stop a problem before it begins. All it takes is a few people to get a couple tickets and find out that is not a place to be.

Fitzpatrick: Amazing that it is increased, but more police officers as well. Concern is difficulty getting guest permits. I voted no on the ordinance. I didn't think property owners should be restricted. Three residents have limited parking off of that street. When we discussed it, we had discussed a higher number of permits and having a resident call the police department for an event and have people park on the street. That wasn't put in the ordinance at all. 3 for residents and 3 for guests. Some of the residents have more than 3 guests. Another resident has seven children alone. Not amenable to them. I understand the ordinance may be limiting some

trouble, but some are still calling the police. Please read the letters. I know we have other parking issues in other areas of town. My street gets boaters.

Ciancio: Current ordinance is flawed. Want to be able to control misbehaviors, but not at the cost of making life miserable for residents when they have activities beyond 9:00. Hopefully we can strike a balance. My first inclination is to repeal the ordinance. I know that there are many different views. I will base my decision on the input of the people who live there. A reasonable amendment – could approve.

Resident of Delaware Ave 1: We have had a lot more traffic on a routine basis, but less trouble. Needs to be amended. Don't think that is impossible. There are computers in quad cars. Neighbor having a funeral needed parking. One neighbor has more cars than people. They should all be able to get a permit. Should be easy to say these are the cars registered to the people who live in this house. Ordinance has done a lot for us. Parking on Second Street and walking up. Noise reduced. More people here than there were five- ten years ago. Urge us to look at ways to make it more user-friendly. Can offer residents a permit for every car that they own and find a way to deal with an emergency. Quick judgment call.

Ciancio: Waterfront one of the great attributes in Delanco. Want people to have access but not take advantage and abuse it.

Resident of Delaware 2: Had a gathering for Republican Debate night. Went to Jesse and asked if I could have some cars. I said some things I shouldn't have said. Jesse sees things in black and white. I understand that. If homeowner went into the police chief and said he was having a party, he would be OK with it.

Resident of Delaware 3: Most affected by the ordinance. Limitation for 3 cars doesn't work. You have to call ahead of time to get permits – 24 hour passes. How many people will stay at a party after 9? I'm in favor of repeal. So if I'm having a party on Friday, I would have to get 20 permits.

DeSanto: Issue with the calling...ordinance didn't exist to back the signs. I was fine leaving it as it was. Comment was made that there was a lack of enforcement ability. Trying to give residents ability to park there. If we go back to having someone make a phone call, I tell my officers that street is free from being enforcement, you run into lack of enforcement again. Can't tell the officers which cars belong to your party, and which don't.

Resident: We would just call you if there is a problem.

DeSanto: People could park there all night.

Heinold: I'm hearing that residents want lives to be easy, but want benefit of enforcement. Police wanting this tool – should carry a lot of weight. If you are having guests on Friday night, what if we left it to our residents, here are permits that are assigned to you. That way they have free reign.

Resident: How about if we put our address and phone number. People take them by mistake.

Ciancio: Some problems an ordinance can't solve.

Resident: No parking in the front and restricted on the side. Would like it fixed or completely gone.

Resident of Delaware3 : Why don't you have it on your street, Ms. Fitzpatrick.  
Fitzpatrick: (missed this) People most affected... I voted for the majority of the people who spoke that night. Discussions were for at least 10 guests or at least the number of cars for each resident. I voted no since it was unfeasible. People who live in between, it still affects the quality of life. Residents still have to call the police.

Ciancio: It's controlling behaviors of unwanted people in that area.

Fitzpatrick: Not the issue, John.

Resident: I feel for the people who live on the corners, but at the same time, there are people out there. I was told I should call the police, but didn't want to bother them. I feel that my neighbors can't park on their street.

Resident of Delaware 4: Sounds like we are mostly talking about numbers. Don't want to throw out the baby with the bath water. Actually had people defecating on our property under our dining room window. People running through the garden in the dark. Hate to go back to that. Increase the numbers for the permits.

Resident of Hazel: Ordinance shouldn't have been passed in the first place. People will just be on Second Street. People who might be using drugs go around and park on the other street.

Resident of Delaware 5: Parking restrictions aren't new. Signs were there when most, if not all, of the current homeowners bought their homes. There was an opportunity to evaluate how that would affect their lifestyle prior to purchase. Please amend the ordinance, but keep it. It has made difference. I commented on the improvement here in June.

Resident of Rancocas: Signs were there, but you are giving permits which should be helping the residents...

Dillenbeck: Signs were there. Needed an ordinance to enforce. Chief's hands were tied. Made the ordinance and now we are having a problem with parking.

Fitzpatrick: People who lived there were parking there. Officers knew their cars. Never issued a ticket. Ken had a party. People parked there. We were taking signs out on Willow for mansion residents. That's when we found out that the ordinance didn't exist. People were in favor and not. Final discussion very limited to people who are affected. If I can have five permits for vehicles, and 50 for my guests. If there is a death in the family, people don't want to come here for permits.

Resident: Signs were there so there should have been no parking at all. You are trying to help the residents with the ordinance and the permits.

Resident of Colgate: Don't live on Delaware, but the ordinance is a tool for our police to use at the waterfront. Applaud you for trying to support the signs and protect the waterfront. Delanco's is the most beautiful in Burlington County. Important to protect. Tool for police. Hearing Mr. Heinold and Mr. Walsh. Solution has been stated. Another problem is lighting. It is dark. Lot of waterfront

properties that aren't lit. Parking is a good tool, but only goes so far. Pitch black at a lot of locations. What happens at the waterfront affects the perception of the rest of town.

Read from Cohens' letter: Since 1988, we've seen fights, drug deals. Police are quick and responsive. Most uneventful year in memory. May be due to increased patrols. Ordinance a clear success. Advised that some residents feel they are negatively impacted. Please accommodate them.

Read from Devinney's letter: Problem of trash and illegal activities is real. Relatively isolated area. Have called police and they have responded.. Parking regulations have been effective. My view was negative originally, but I was persuaded that ordinance was needed for police to enforce. 3 visitor permits has been inconvenient. Had to provide license numbers before a funeral. More flexibility has been appreciated. Would not like to go back open parking.

Jass: 21 eligible people. 11 have had permits issued. That 50%. This evening, 7 people in favor of keeping it. Shouldn't throw out the baby with the bath water. Increase the number of permits. Take the advice of the chief. John, when you clean up, have you seen an improvement?

Fenimore: We empty a lot of trash cans. Residents pick it up.

Jass: You haven't seen any difference. My concern, chief, is this. If we repeal, we had testimony at last month's meeting. Willow - young people urinating on lawns and wouldn't listen. Young people who park their cars there. They don't listen. They curse at them and spit on them. If we repeal this, our police will have more to attend to on the riverfront. There are other problems in other parts of town. Will we need more police if we repeal this? The most important thing now is to keep the ordinance and tweak it. Give the residents the permits they need. If people have guests, give them 50 24 hour parking permits. If they run out, we should issue more.

Ciancio: Proposal - have amendment prepared and have it come to public readings and obtain additional information from the public.

Fitzpatrick: We should try and do something tonight to improve the situation.

Ciancio: We don't have the amendments written.

Heinold: I want to try to work with Jesse since he has to implement. It seems that we want the residents' lives to be as easy as possible. If the worst situation is that people are counterfeiting permits...

Resident of Delaware : Instead of paper passes, a phone call.

DeSanto: We can't be selective in our enforcement.

Resident: You have to have some discretion.

DeSanto: I would go with 25 visitors' permits. Use them at your pleasure.

Ciancio: We will take Mr. Heinold's advice and work on it.

Fitzpatrick: Mr. Walsh has seven children.

Ciancio: Going over same issues that are part of this problem.

Resident: Each homeowner has 20 spaces. I think that is something the police should consider.

Resident of Delaware: 20 at our discretion is a great idea. Registered cars to individuals don't have to be registered to that address.

Ciancio: Amended ordinance to present to the public.

Lohr: Continued discussion item with a draft recommendation. Public hearing would be meeting in November.

## 2. RECOMMENDATION TO ADOPT ORDINANCE FOR NO SMOKING ON PUBLIC PROPERTY

Dillenbeck: Takes fifteen minutes to smoke a cigarette. They will have to walk across the street. Losing a lot of productive time. Lose a half an hour three or four times a day. Smoking area in the back of this building. You have to designate an area – not at the front door. Smoking is a habit that a lot of people can't stop. Addicted to it.

Jass: When you look at Field of Dreams and West Avenue, parents can't smoke. People who smoke usually move away. Basketball courts and fields – lots of cigarette butts. Out here we have a cigarette container.

Ciancio: Not a problem. Individual decision. Second hand exposure lessened outdoors. Other things are in the air. Concern for trash can be addressed. Person should have the right to smoke outside in a public area.

Fitzpatrick: I agree. I don't think we should control that.

McFadden: I'm 99% sure. I believe Recreation at one time has an amendment on our books regarding smoking. Can't smoke on the fields. Wouldn't want to be smoking in front of the young children. No smoking at sports complex and the Delanco Dunes.

Lohr: Researched code and personnel policy. Any township building should be smoke free. Township facilities and property. Outside at rear of municipal building and rear of public works garage. Personnel policy says you can only smoke in two places. Police can't enforce personnel policy. Nothing really on the books for the Dunes.

McFadden: Sign at West Ave – no smoking.

Lohr: None of them are in the code.

McFadden: As a member of Rec, parent, and smoker, I would be more inclined to take a walk than smoke in front of the children.

Ciancio: I would like to recommend that we don't adopt an ordinance to address this.

Lohr: Personnel policy adopted by resolution. Can police enforce?

Heinold: No.

Lohr: Employees can't smoke, but public can.

Templeton: In US and Europe going to no smoking environments. I was looking through park regs related to JIF matter. Usually the first one to three – no smoking in county parks. There no smoking on platforms or stations for public transportation – even airports. People have respiratory problems, allergies. Some people talk about not going to recreation concerts due to smoking. Set an example and a standard.

Jass: How enforceable is it? Or are we just putting something in paper.

Fitzpatrick: Maybe we need to amend personnel manual.

Ciancio: Not appropriate for an ordinance at this time.

### 3. PROPOSED ORDINANCE AMENDING THE TOWNSHIP CODE AT CHAPTER 222 GOVERNING "PROPERTY MAINTENANCE" AND CHAPTER 190 GOVERNING "GRAFFITI"

Fitzpatrick: We had introduced at some time and it wasn't passed, difficulty with residents complaining to me about rental properties with furniture on their porches that doesn't belong there. Code enforcement officer got this language from other township. Those paragraphs were needed to deal with that. Already dealt with garage sales. Left graffiti in. Had an issue.

Ciancio: Recommend that we formalize as an ordinance.

Fitzpatrick: Ordinance is together.

Jass: Some questions...talk about vehicles in town – not stored other than garages and driveways. What happens in first and third districts?

Fitzpatrick: Already on the books.

Jass: Was in the old draft.

Templeton: This is different than what was circulated. My question is why it was in here because it seemed the content was the same but the sentences were in a different order.

Jass: Placement/storage of storage boxes on front porch, step...Some people have vinyl storage boxes that they put a cushion in.

Fitzpatrick: Want to submit clarification?

Templeton: Previous one mentioned television sets on front porches.

Fitzpatrick: Electrical shouldn't really be out there.

Ciancio: Rather than address this, bring it back for review.

Fitzpatrick: Have any of you received phone calls of complaints? I will give them your number.

Ciancio: Intent to upgrade our public image.

Lohr: Give comments to Doug.

Fitzpatrick: Trouble with vehicles to be able to move some of them.

Jass: Please give my number to those residents. It's unlisted.

### 4. PROPOSED ORDINANCE AMENDING THE TOWNSHIP CODE AT CHAPTER 110-13 GOVERNING "FENCES AND WALLS"

Heinold: Request from residents regarding riverfront properties that are undeveloped. Ordinance only allows hedgerow of no more than 3 ft. Some fences there before the ordinance went into effect. At request of committee, circulated ordinance for review. Within the past several years, it has come up to planning board which didn't want to stray from living fences. Tried to make fences in this ordinance as simple as possible to keep view shed, but accommodate insurance needs of residents. Ordinance allows split rail fences. I added gates and upset more people.

Ciancio: Should be freedom to chose a different type of fence. Should introduce an ordinance and open it up through the readings.

Resident of Delaware 1: Appreciate uniformity. Don't want it to all look the same. 50% viewership could be required at a specific height. One family has wrought iron. Gates for mowers would be bigger. Houses are very individual; fence could match that. Need to delineate the property line. Apologize that I asked Joint Land Use Board for split rail.

Jass: Someone had an insurance problem. What is the criteria the insurance company is looking for?

Resident 2: Some type of barrier between water source with current

Dillenbeck: That is for an attractive nuisance like around a swimming pool. You are getting away from establishing the property line. Your insurance company wants a chain link fence at a minimum of 4 feet. Complicates the matter that much more.

Call your insurance company to see what they have in mind.

Templeton: Not our job to specify what his insurance...

Dillenbeck: Just like sidewalks. Some insurance companies specify and some don't care.

Ciancio: Might have a problem if we allow insurance companies to determine what needs to be there.

Fitzpatrick: Ken is running out of time on his policy.

Resident: Very difficult. Every insurance company is different.

Templeton: Do they want a photograph?

Resident: I brought out insurance agent due to the sea wall. I have a little deck and No Trespassing sign. Without it, police can't ask the person to leave. Other properties only have a tree to post a sign on. The only thing I don't like is chain link.

Ciancio: Move forward as written.

Heinold: This is a zoning ordinance and will be sent to board between first and second meeting. They meet tomorrow night. Michelle Taylor will be talking about fences.

Lohr: If you officially introduce, it triggers publishing, consistency reviews. I think you should wait until you hear from Michelle Taylor. Keep on as a discussion item.

Resident: Can board supply a letter that indicates the town is considering changing the ordinance?

Lohr: Will a copy of the agenda be sufficient?

Ciancio: Have you received a letter from the insurance company?

Resident: Yes. I do have that letter. It says I have to put up some type of barrier.

Jass: I think that would be good for Joint Land Use Board.

Heinold: Email either me or Janice to confirm that it is under discussion.

Fitzpatrick: Let Michelle know we are considering more than a split rail fence. What Dave suggested was important.

Lohr: Letter from Cohens re: fences. Had 5 ft gate as requested by lawn service. Concerned about split rail requirement. Variety of treatments add to character of our town.

Templeton: Height restriction and visibility is all that is needed. I'd like to see a specific ban on industrial or chain link. Don't need restrictions on gate. For Planning Board, if we are stuck with split rail, riverfronts will all look like Pennington Park. That invites trespass issues. Wanted to emphasize that.

Jass: Perusing Facebook and saw that Oct. 25 memorial for Muellers. Township Committee always approves for insurance purposes.

Fitzpatrick: Sent Phil an email.

McFadden: I didn't respond. The way it was worded, looked like it was being worked out with DYSA and Rec wouldn't have an issue with it.

Jass: If it were a wedding, wouldn't we need to have a piece of paper?

Lohr: Issue is to use park, you do an official request.

Heinold: Typically, Township Committee approves and it is backed up by facilities use policy.

Jass: Just making sure township is protected.

Heinold: Whatever is in place for facilities use, we should follow it.

Lohr: Need facilities request to use it.

Motion to approve facility use.

ADJOURNMENT

*Submitted by Maureen Barrett*